

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

					
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/915,671	07/26/2001	Patricia J. Mikula	070191-0321 (31-CD-6182)	·	
7590 03/16/2004			EXAMINER		
Neal D. Marcu	ıs	BRADFORD, RODERICK D			
FOLEY & LAR	RDNER				
One IBM Plaza		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
330 North Wab	ash Avenue, Suite 3300	3762	10		
Chicago, IL 6	0611-3608		, -		

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					A			
		Application No.		Applicant(s)	P			
		09/915,671		MIKULA ET AL.	-			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
	· .	Roderick Bradford		3762	,			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
A SH THE - External after - If the - If SNC - Failure - Any - earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howev ation. ys, a reply within the statutory minin y period will apply and will expire SI by statute, cause the application to I	er, may a reply be tin num of thirty (30) day X (6) MONTHS from Decome ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
Status	Deposition to communication (a) filed	02 tuti 0002		, /				
1)[\bigsilon]	Responsive to communication(s) filed	<u>·</u>	•					
2a)⊠	•	This action is non-fin						
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims				e merits is			
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 7,9-11 and 13-35 is/are pendic	ng in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21-35</u> is/are w	ithdrawn from considerat	ion.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 7,9-11 and 13-20 is/are rejected	d.	•					
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirem	ient.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)☐ objected	d to by the Exa	miner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
	If approved, corrected drawings are require	ed in reply to this Office action	on.	•				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been receiv	/ed.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been receiv	ed in Applicati	on No				
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation from the attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).		Stage			
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for d	omestic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).			
) The translation of the foreign languates Acknowledgment is made of a claim for contact.							
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) 🔲 1		r (PTO-413) Paper No(Patent Application (PTC				
J.S. Patent and T. PTO-326 (Re		office Action Summary		Part of Paper No. 10				

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed July 28, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Referring to claim 7, applicant states that the Feng reference, the Halpern reference, and the Mann reference do not teach an "illuminating component that illuminates the work surface". However this is not persuasive since the light source is a diffuse light source and it inherently illuminates the work surface. Therefore the three references meet the claim limitation and the rejections are maintained.

Referring to claim 9, applicant states the Mann reference does not teach the printer prints a graphical waveform representing the electrical activity of the heart. However this is not persuasive since Mann does teach the printer prints a graphical waveform representing the electrical activity of the heart, see also column 8 line 53 — column 9 line 2 and column 10, lines 35-41). Also referring to claim 14, applicant states that the Mann reference does not show a power source of an instrument connected to both a printing component of the instrument and to an illuminating component. However this is not persuasive sine the components are connected through internal circuitry. Therefore all the rejection is maintained with respect to the Mann reference.

Election/Restrictions

2. Newly submitted claims 21-35 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: The originally filed claims are independent of the newly submitted claims 21-35 in that the originally

filed independent claims do not call for "a display located at a second elevation higher than the first" nor a "a support located above the work surface and including a plate that can carry a monitor" as stated in newly submitted claims 21 and 27.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 21-35 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Feng et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,649,544.

Referring to claim 7, Feng discloses a testing instrument comprising:

- an instrument for monitoring the electrical activity of a patient's heart
 (column 2, lines 20-26) and said instrument including a work surface (10)
 the top of the instrument where the printer is located and any other space
 on the instrument
- a light source (14)

Art Unit: 3762

It is inherent that that element 14 illuminates the workspace since computer monitors emits light.

5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Halpern et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,687,717.

Referring to claim 7, Halpern discloses a testing instrument comprising:

- an instrument for monitoring the electrical activity of a patient's heart
 (column 11, lines 50-16) and said instrument including a work surface
 (19) and any other surface on the instrument
- a light source (14 and 22)

It is inherent that that element 14 and 22 illuminates the workspace since computer monitors emits light.

Referring to claim 19, Halpern discloses a medical testing system comprising:

- an instrument for monitoring the electrical activity of a patient's heart (column 11, lines 50-16) and said instrument including a work surface
 (19)
- a first component for illuminating the instrument (14 and 22)
- a second component for decoding instructions received from the user (column 5, lines 30-32)
- a third component for providing power to the first two components and for remotely controlling the first component (abstract).

Art Unit: 3762

6. Claims 7, 9-11, 13-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mann et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,833,623.

Referring to claims 7, 9, 13-15 and 20, Feng discloses a testing instrument comprising:

- an instrument for monitoring the electrical activity of a patient's heart (column 7, lines 50-57) and said instrument including a work surface (examiner considers the table or any surface in Fig. 1 to be the work surface)
- a light source (126)
- a component for printing on a medium, moving across the work surface, a
 graphical waveform representing the electrical activity of the heart
 (column 7 lines 35-37 and lines 50-57) a power source coupled to the
 component for printing (inherent)
- a supporting component engaging the instrument for supporting the light source (10).

It is inherent that that element 126 illuminates the workspace since computer monitors emits light.

Referring to claim 16, wherein the instrument further includes a keypad, adjacent the work surface (column 8, lines 57).

Referring to claim 18, wherein the illuminating component illuminates the keypad (column 8, line 57).

Art Unit: 3762

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roderick Bradford whose telephone number is (703) 305-3287. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7 a.m. - 4 p.m..

Art Unit: 3762

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

L. Acolom

ANGELA D. SYKES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Cingel. R Apr